



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,284	12/20/2001	Kei Tomihara	249-244	7654
23117	7590	06/17/2004	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			YUAN, DAH WEI D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/022,284

Applicant(s)

TOMIHARA ET AL.

Examiner

Dah-Wei D Yuan

Art Unit

1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 2/4/02 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

CADMIUM NEGATIVE ELECTRODE FOR ALKALINE STORAGE BATTERY
METHOD FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/022,284

Art Unit: 1745

June 10, 2004

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 8, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1-8 were cancelled. Claims 9-16 were added.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on January 14, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, on claims 1-8 are withdrawn, because all the claims have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 9,11,13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stiker et al. (US 4,180,441) in view of Oshitani (JP 56-35368).

With respect to claims 9,11,13,14, Stiker et al. teach a process of producing a cadmium negative electrode for use in an alkaline battery wherein a conductive porous support or core is repeatedly immersed, consisting of immersing a sintered nickel, in melted cadmium nitrate, and thereafter, in an aqueous solution of an alkaline metal hydroxide which transforms the nitrate into cadmium hydroxide. The pores of the cadmium hydroxide conductive support forming the active material are thereby filled up. The two immersion operations, including drying between the immersions, are repeated several times for providing a sufficient deposit of active material. See Column 1, Lines 8-28.

However, Stiker et al. do not teach the application of polyethylene glycol coating covering a surface of said cadmium active material. Oshitani teaches a cadmium electrode for use in an alkaline battery. The electrode is coated with polyethylene glycol as a corrosion inhibitor. The resulting electrode is then dried at 80-100°C for 10 to 15 minutes. See Abstract; Column 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to coat the cadmium negative electrode of Stiker et al. with polyethylene glycol, because Oshitani teaches the use of said coating to prevent corrosion of the negative electrode active material.

With respect to claims 15,16, Stiker et al. further teach the alkaline battery comprising a nickel positive electrode, a separator and an alkaline electrolyte. See Column 1, Lines 8-28.

5. Claims 9,11,13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi (JP 63-160161) in view of Oshitani (JP 56-35368).

With respect to claims 9,11,13,14, Kobayashi teaches an alkaline battery wherein a porous sintered nickel substrate in a mixture solution of nickel nitrate and cobalt nitrate, drying, and immersing in a cadmium nitrate solution, drying, then immersing in an alkaline solution to form an active material. See Abstract. Kobayashi does not specifically disclose the formation of cadmium hydroxide after subjecting the sintered nickel body to alkali treatment. However, it is the position of the examiner that such characteristics are inherent, given that both Kobayashi and the present application utilize the similar manufacturing procedures. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature *is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference*. In re Robertson, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999).

However, Kobayashi does not teach the application of polyethylene glycol coating covering a surface of said cadmium electrode active material. Ositani teaches a cadmium electrode for use in an alkaline battery. The electrode is coated with polyethylene glycol as a corrosion inhibitor. The resulting electrode is then dried at 80-100°C for 10 to 15 minutes. See Abstract; Column 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to coat the cadmium negative electrode of Kobayashi with polyethylene glycol, because Ositani teaches the use of said coating to prevent corrosion of the negative electrode active material.

With respect to claims 15,16, Kobayashi further teach the alkaline battery comprising a nickel positive electrode, a separator and an alkaline electrolyte. See Abstract.

Art Unit: 1745

6. Claims 10,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stiker et al. and Oshitani as applied to claims 9,11,13-16 above, and further in view of Treger et al. (US 6,514,637 B2).

Stiker et al. and Oshitani disclose a cadmium negative electrode comprising a polyethylene glycol coating covering the surface of the active material as described above in Paragraph 4. However, Stiker et al. and Oshitani do not specifically discuss the molecular weight of the polyethylene glycol used. Treger et al. teach the coating of electrode surface with a liquid in an alkaline battery. The material may be a liquid at elevated temperature but turns solid at room temperature. The coating material is first heated so that it liquefies with low viscosity so that it becomes castable or coatable onto the surface of the electrode. Suitable material, such as polyethylene glycol having a molecular weight greater than 900, preferably greater than 1500, is used. The disclosure of Treger et al. differs from Applicant's claims in that Treger et al. do not disclose the polyethylene glycol having a mean molecular weight of 600 or higher but not more than 20000. However, Treger et al. recognize the importance of viscosity on the coatability of the polyethylene glycol on the battery electrode. See Column 18, Lines 30-42. Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to coat the cadmium negative electrode with polyethylene glycol having a mean molecular weight of 600 or higher but not more than 20000, because Treger et al. teach the molecular weight (viscosity) is critical to the coatability of the compound onto the battery electrode. *Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art.* In re Boesch, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

Art Unit: 1745

7. Claims 10,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi and Oshitani as applied to claims 9,11,13-16 above, and further in view of Treger et al. (US 6,514,637 B2).

Kobayashi and Oshitani disclose a cadmium negative electrode comprising a polyethylene glycol coating covering the surface of the active material as described above in Paragraph 5. However, Kobayashi and Oshitani do not specifically discuss the molecular weight of the polyethylene glycol used. Treger et al. teach the coating of electrode surface with a liquid in an alkaline battery. The material may be a liquid at elevated temperature but turns solid at room temperature. The coating material is first heated so that it liquefies with low viscosity so that it becomes castable or coatable onto the surface of the electrode. Suitable material, such as polyethylene glycol having a molecular weight greater than 900, preferably greater than 1500, is used. The disclosure of Treger et al. differs from Applicant's claims in that Treger et al. do not disclose the polyethylene glycol having a mean molecular weight of 600 or higher but not more than 20000. However, Treger et al. recognize the importance of viscosity on the coatability of the polyethylene glycol on the battery electrode. See Column 18, Lines 30-42. Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to coat the cadmium negative electrode with polyethylene glycol having a mean molecular weight of 600 or higher but not more than 20000, because Treger et al. teach the molecular weight (viscosity) is critical to the coatability of the compound onto the battery electrode. *Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art. In re Boesch*, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan
June 11, 2004

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dahwei Y", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.